**R20.102 IATF 16949 Registration Readiness Review**

The client (organization) applying for certification to IATF 16949:2016 shall provide SRI with the following information (*refer to Rules 6.5, Stage 1 readiness review activities*) and documentation during an on-site, Stage 1 “Readiness Review.” Client must utilize the Automotive Quality Management System Standard [IATF 16949:2016], “IATF Rules” for achieving and maintaining IATF recognition (5th Edition), applicable CSRs, SIs, FAQs, etc., most current editions. This information and documentation is required as indicated in the “IATF Rules”. **This form, R20.102, must be completed by the client for each value-added site to be registered.** This information must be reviewed at the client’s site(s), typically ***no less than ten weeks prior to the anticipated Stage 2 registration audit***. Reserving Stage 2 registration dates is encouraged. Stage 2 dates are generally confirmed following approval of the “Readiness Review” by SRI. (Note: An optional Pre-Assessment audit may facilitate earlier confirmation.) Postponement of the on-site Stage 1 readiness review could result in delaying your Stage 2 registration audit dates. Note: Organizations should visit the IATF website (<https://www.iatfglobaloversight.org/>) regularly for Latest News, IATF Stakeholder Communiqués, OEM Requirements, etc.

**Information the client is to prepare for the SRI Auditor to review at the Stage 1 Readiness Review:**

1. Management system documentation for each site to be audited including:
2. Quality manual including the interaction with support functions on-site or remote;
3. Description of remote location(s) and support provided to or received from as part of the IATF 16949;
4. Description of processes showing the sequence and interactions, including the identification of remote support functions and outsourced processes;
	* Note: Where a Remote Support Location(s) [Function(s)] is audited by another certification body (CB, not SRI), the following information applicable to the remote support location(s) [function(s)] must be submitted with this report. Refer IATF Rule 5.5, Option 2: a. Proof that the other CB is recognized by the IATF, b. the audit plan submitted by the other CB of the remote support location(s), c. the audit report submitted by the other CB of the remote support location(s), d. the corrective actions submitted by the other CB applicable to the remote support location(s), e. the verification of the corrective actions submitted by the other CB of the remote support location(s), f. copies of all on-site verification activities reports submitted by the other CB of the remote support location(s).
5. Required procedures and records.
6. Evidence that all the requirements of IATF 16949 are addressed by your processes.
7. Access to your website to validate information provided.
8. Key indicators and performance trends for the previous twelve (12) months (minimum) including customer report cards (consider PPM's, delivery, cost of management, objectives, capacity, utilization, productivity, efficiencies, etc.).
9. Information on the use of consultancy relating to the management system.
10. Relevant legal obligations / regulations applicable to the product or processes.
11. Completed Process Matrix for IATF 16949, R20.44IATF, or equivalent.
12. Evidence of one full cycle of Internal Audits to IATF 16949 followed by a Management Review. [One full cycle of internal audits (QMS, Manufacturing and Product) in the process approach to IATF 16949 followed by a management review to that must be completed prior to the audit and submitted as part of this review package.]
13. List of qualified internal auditors and criteria (how) they were qualified.
14. A complete list of automotive customers (IATF OEMs, Non ITAF OEMs, Automotive Customers) and their customer-specific requirements (including revision and/or date of issue and any waivers obtained). Note: Jaguar Land Rover is now an IATF OEM.
15. The IATF definition of supplier applicability must apply to the client seeking registration (refer to Rules 1.0, Eligibility for certification to IATF Rules)
16. Customer complaint summary and responses for the past year.
17. Customer scorecards (access to the customer websites, for retrieval of information where applicable).
18. Notifications of Customer Special Status (Q1 Revocation, New Business Hold, CS II, Top Problem Supplier Location, etc.).
19. Completed IATF 16949 Registration Readiness Review form, R20.102.

***IMPORTANT NOTICE (refer to Rules 3.2, Notice of changes by client):*** According to accreditation body requirements, failure to provide accurate or knowingly omit required information, may result in additional time being added to the one (1) hour on-site pre-planning meeting. If changes occur between Stage 1 and Stage 2, the client (organization) is required to notify the SRI office in writing. If you have questions, please contact: **SRI Quality System Registrar ● Tel.: 724-934-9000 ● Fax: 724-935-6825**

|  |
| --- |
| P L E A S E P R I N T – To be completed by the client |
| **Completed by** |       | **Date** |       |
| **Company name** |       |
| **Street address, city, state** |       |
| **Facility to be registered (if different)** |       |
| **Certification Structure:** | [ ]  Single Manufacturing Site |
|  | [ ]  Corporate Scheme |
|  | [ ]  Single Manufacturing Site with Extended Site(s) – Rform R20.27 SRI Application and Review for Single Manufacturing Site with Extended Manufacturing Site(s) has been received from SRI completed and submitted [ ]  Yes [ ]  No  |
| **Describe automotive supply chain linkage to IATF OEMs, Non-IATF OEMs and Automotive Customers.** |       |
| **Language(s) of the audit** (all comprehend – English and Non-English and employee count by language spoken) |       | **Current # of Shifts and Times (split, dedicated, weekend, etc.)** |       |
| **Total # of employees and temps** (all shifts, all processes, all activities) |       | **Non-automotive operations** (i.e., painting, machining) and warehouse(s) associated with the site |       |
| **Are there any raw material or components (parts) manufactured on-site that is used in the automotive product you ship to your customer, where the processes are not audited to IATF requirements?** |       |
| **Provide information on the use of consultancy relating to the management system:** |       |

**Client to complete: Customer-Specific Requirements** – Complete the sections below or provide, in a similar format structure, your own list of automotive customers. Provide a copy of the supplier’s score (report) card. For IATF eligibility purposes, production part purchase order, or completed PPAP (warrant sign-off or similar document) for at least one (1) automotive customer. **\*** Provide a summary sheet of customer complaints including probations or status for the previous twelve months. If the Customer Performance Goals are not met, complete the client comment column indicating actions taken or being taken by the client. (Add attachments if additional space is needed.)

| ***IATF OEMs, non-IATF OEMs, and Automotive Customers*** supplier code(s) to meet defined formats (re-confirm)  | **Score Card Available**supplier code(s) to match code listed on the score (report) card | **Customer Performance Goals Met** (if no, complete client comments) | **# Customer Complaints\*** | **Client Comments** | **Customer Specific Requirement including date of issue revision level, and any waivers obtained** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **FCA US LLC (Chrysler)** – 5 digits may be followed by 1 or 2 capital lettersEnter Supplier Code[ ]  Check if N/A | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No [ ]  N/A, add comment as to Why. | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No[ ]  On Status |       |       |       |
| **Ford** – 5 characters, alphanumericEnter Supplier Code[ ]  Check if N/A | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No [ ]  N/A, add comment as to Why. | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No[ ]  On Status |       |       |       |
| **General Motors** – 9 digits without any blanksEnter Supplier Code[ ]  Check if N/A | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No [ ]  N/A, add comment as to Why. | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No[ ]  On Status |       |       |       |
| **Volkswagen AG**– 9 digits without any blanksEnter Supplier Code[ ]  Check if N/A | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No [ ]  N/A, add comment as to Why. | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No[ ]  On status |       |       |       |
| **Groupe Renault** – 6 digits, 2 digitsEnter Supplier Code[ ]  Check if N/A | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No [ ]  N/A, add comment as to Why. | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No[ ]  On status |       |       |       |
| **Groupe PSA** – 6 characters, 2 charactersEnter Supplier Code[ ]  Check if N/A | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No [ ]  N/A, add comment as to Why. | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No[ ]  On status |       |       |       |
| **FCA Italy SpA (Fiat)** - 4 digits, 3 digits, 3 digitsEnter Supplier Code[ ]  Check if N/A | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No [ ]  N/A, add comment as to Why. | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No[ ]  On status |       |       |       |
| **Daimler AG (Mercedes)** – 8 digits (mandatory), 1 character (optional)Enter Supplier Code[ ]  Check if N/A | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No [ ]  N/A, add comment as to Why. | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No[ ]  On status |       |       |       |
| **BMW** – 5 digits, 2 digitsEnter Supplier Code[ ]  Check if N/A | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No [ ]  N/A, add comment as to Why. | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No[ ]  On status |       |       |       |
| **Jaguar Land Rover (JLR Ltd.) -** 5 characters, alphanumericEnter Supplier Code[ ]  Check if N/A | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No [ ]  N/A, add comment as to Why. | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No[ ]  On status |       |       |       |
| Other Enter Supplier Code | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No [ ]  N/A, add comment as to Why. | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No[ ]  On status |       |       |       |
| Other Enter Supplier Code | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No [ ]  N/A, add comment as to Why. | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No[ ]  On status |       |       |       |
| Other Enter Supplier Code | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No [ ]  N/A, add comment as to Why. | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No[ ]  On status |       |       |       |
| Other Enter Supplier Code | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No [ ]  N/A, add comment as to Why. | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No[ ]  On status |       |       |       |
| Other Enter Supplier Code | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No [ ]  N/A, add comment as to Why. | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No[ ]  On status |       |       |       |
| Other Enter Supplier Code | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No [ ]  N/A, add comment as to Why. | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No[ ]  On status |       |       |       |
| Other Enter Supplier Code | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No [ ]  N/A, add comment as to Why. | [ ]  Yes[ ]  No[ ]  On status |       |       |       |

Provide a description of the automotive products manufactured:

Product design responsible (includes subcontracted design)?  [ ]  Yes [ ]  No

CQI-8, Special Process: Layered Process Audits Guideline applies: [ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  N/A

CQI-9, Special Process: Heat Treat System Assessment applies: [ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  N/A

CQI-11, Special Process: Plating System Assessment applies: [ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  N/A

CQI-12, Special Process: Coating System Assessment applies: [ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  N/A

CQI-14, Special Process: Customer Centric Warranty Mgmt applies: [ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  N/A

CQI-15, Special Process: Welding System Assessment applies: [ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  N/A

CQI-17, Special Process: Soldering System Assessment applies: [ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  N/A

CQI-19, Special Process: Sub-tier Supplier Management Process Guideline: [ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  N/A

CQI-23, Special Process: Molding System Assessment applies: [ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  N/A

CQI-27, Special Process: Casting (Foundry) System Assessment applies: [ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  N/A

List any other applicable CQIs which are not listed above:

 CQI-      , Special Process:       System Assessment applies: [ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  N/A

 CQI-      , Special Process:       System Assessment applies: [ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  N/A

 CQI-      , Special Process:       System Assessment applies: [ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  N/A

**Client to complete: Process Information – Performance and Identification of KEY/COP Processes, Support Processes, and applicable Remote Support Location (RSL) Processes:**

| **Section A: Key/COP Processes:** Complete the section below or provide in a similar format structure using your own list of QMS Processes that match your Quality Manual Description and Interaction of Processes. Identify all Key/COP processes and the support processes on-site. Your process definitions must match between your QM, this document, and the R20.44IATF Process Matrix for IATF 16949. Mark the Process K = Key/COP or S = Support. If the internal metrics of effectiveness and efficiency are not met, complete the client comment column indicating actions taken or being taken by the client. In the # Customer Complaints column, indicate the actual number of complaints since the last regular SRI audit event for the past year and list the affected process(es). \* Add attachments if additional space is needed. |
| --- |
| **Section 3A: KEY/COP & Support Processes**(Physical, not mailing) | **At Goal Effective** | **At Goal Efficiency**  | **# Customer Complaint** (which processes are impacted) | **Client Comments** | **SRI Auditor to Complete**Comments or Areas of Concern that could be classified as a nonconformance during the Stage 2 Audit. |
| **EXAMPLE:** Molding[x]  Key/COP[ ]  Support | < 1% Scrap< 100 PPM per quarter | 90 % Machine Availability | 4 | Scrap spike in Jan, Feb, March – CA 635 issued. Apr and May <1%4 customer issues – 3 closed and accepted by the customer, 1 awaiting customer approval. |  |
|      [ ]  Key/COP[ ]  Support |       |       |       |       |       |
|      [ ]  Key/COP[ ]  Support |       |       |       |       |       |
|      [ ]  Key/COP[ ]  Support |       |       |       |       |       |
|      [ ]  Key/COP[ ]  Support |       |       |       |       |       |
|      [ ]  Key/COP[ ]  Support |       |       |       |       |       |
|      [ ]  Key/COP[ ]  Support |       |       |       |       |       |
|      [ ]  Key/COP[ ]  Support |       |       |       |       |       |
|      [ ]  Key/COP[ ]  Support |       |       |       |       |       |
|      [ ]  Key/COP[ ]  Support |       |       |       |       |       |
|      [ ]  Key/COP[ ]  Support |       |       |       |       |       |
|      [ ]  Key/COP[ ]  Support |       |       |       |       |       |

| **IATF Approved Remote Support Location (RSL) Titles** |
| --- |
| AftersalesCalibrationContinuous ImprovementContract ReviewCustomer Service DistributionEngineering | Facilities ManagementFinanceHuman ResourceInformation TechnologiesInternal Audit ManagementLaboratoryLogistics | MaintenanceManagement ReviewMarketingPackagingPolicy MakingProcess DesignProduct Design | Production Equipment DevelopmentPurchasingQuality System ManagementR & DRepairSalesSequencing | ServicingStrategic Planning Supplier Management TestingTrainingWarehousingWarranty Management |

| **Section B: Support Provided to Another Location**: Complete the section below or provide in a similar format structure using your own list of QMS Processes that match your Quality Manual Description and Interaction of Processes. Identify all support provided to another location from your site. Your process definitions must match between your QM, this document, the R20.44IATF Process Matrix for IATF 16949, and the list of IATF Approved Remote Support Location (RSL Titles identified above). Where your title does not exactly match the IATF Approved Remote Support Location (RSL) Titles place the relevant IATF Approved Remote Support Location (RSL) Titles in parenthesis ( ). Example Tooling (Production Equipment Development). Where more than one IATF Approved Remote Support Location (RSL) Title is rolled into your support process title identify all the IATF Approved Remote Support Location (RSL) Titles. Example: People Services (Human Resource – Policy Making – Strategic Planning) or Quality (Quality System Management – Internal Audit Management – Continuous Improvement – Testing). If the internal metrics of effectiveness and efficiency are not met, complete the client comment column indicating actions taken or being taken by the client. In the # Customer Complaints column, indicate the actual number of complaints since the last regular SRI audit event for the past year and list the affected process(es). \* Add attachments if additional space is needed.  |
| --- |
| **Section B: Support Processes Provided to Another Location**(Physical, not mailing) address of the location the support is provided to. | **At Goal Effective** | **At Goal Efficiency** | **# Customer Complaint** (which processes are impacted) | **Client Comments** | **SRI Auditor to Complete** Comments or Areas of Concern that could be classified as a nonconformance during the Stage 2 Audit. |
| **EXAMPLE 1:** Tooling (Production Equipment Development)203 Main St., Akron, Ohio | < First off 1% Scrap | Tooling available for PPAP | 4 | Scrap spike in Jan, Feb, March – CA 635 issued. Apr and May <1%4 customer issues – 3 closed and accepted by the customer, 1 awaiting customer approval. |  |
| **EXAMPLE 2:** People Services (Human Resource – Policy Making – Strategic Planning)9 Front St., Chicago, Illinois | Manpower forecast maintained | Years of service avg. 5 years | 0 |  |  |
| RSL TitleEnter Address |       |       |       |       |       |
| RSL TitleEnter Address |       |       |       |       |       |
| RSL TitleEnter Address |       |       |       |       |       |
| RSL TitleEnter Address |       |       |       |       |       |
| RSL TitleEnter Address |       |       |       |       |       |
| RSL TitleEnter Address |       |       |       |       |       |
| RSL TitleEnter Address |       |       |       |       |       |
| RSL TitleEnter Address |       |       |       |       |       |
| RSL TitleEnter Address |       |       |       |       |       |
| RSL TitleEnter Address |       |       |       |       |       |
| RSL TitleEnter Address |       |       |       |       |       |

| **IATF Approved Remote Support Location (RSL) Titles** |
| --- |
| AftersalesCalibrationContinuous ImprovementContract ReviewCustomer Service DistributionEngineering | Facilities ManagementFinanceHuman ResourceInformation TechnologiesInternal Audit ManagementLaboratoryLogistics | MaintenanceManagement ReviewMarketingPackagingPolicy MakingProcess DesignProduct Design | Production Equipment DevelopmentPurchasingQuality System ManagementR & DRepairSalesSequencing | ServicingStrategic Planning Supplier Management TestingTrainingWarehousingWarranty Management |

| **Section C: Support Received From Another Location**: Complete the section below or provide in a similar format structure using your own list of QMS Processes that match your Quality Manual Description and Interaction of Processes. Identify all support provided to another location from your site. Your process definitions must match between your QM, this document, the R20.44IATF Process Matrix for IATF 16949, and the list of IATF Approved Remote Support Location (RSL Titles identified above). Where your title does not exactly match the IATF Approved Remote Support Location (RSL) Titles place the relevant IATF Approved Remote Support Location (RSL) Titles in parenthesis ( ). Example Tooling (Production Equipment Development). Where more than one IATF Approved Remote Support Location (RSL) Title is rolled into your support process title identify all the IATF Approved Remote Support Location (RSL) Titles. Example: People Services (Human Resource – Policy Making – Strategic Planning) or Quality (Quality System Management – Internal Audit Management – Continuous Improvement – Testing). If the internal metrics of effectiveness and efficiency are not met, complete the client comment column indicating actions taken or being taken by the client. In the # Customer Complaints column, indicate the actual number of complaints since the last regular SRI audit event for the past year and list the affected process(es). \* Add attachments if additional space is needed.  |
| --- |
| **Section C: Support Processes Received from Another Location**(Physical, not mailing) address of the location the support is received from. | **At Goal Effective** | **At Goal Efficiency** | **# Customer Complaint** (which processes are impacted) | **Client Comments** | **SRI Auditor to Complete** Comments or Areas of Concern that could be classified as a nonconformance during the Stage 2 Audit. |
| **EXAMPLE 1:** Tooling (Production Equipment Development)203 Main St., Akron, Ohio | < First off 1% Scrap | Tooling available for PPAP | 4 | Scrap spike in Jan, Feb, March – CA 635 issued. Apr and May <1%4 customer issues – 3 closed and accepted by the customer, 1 awaiting customer approval. |  |
| **EXAMPLE 2:** People Services (Human Resource – Policy Making – Strategic Planning)9 Front St., Chicago, Illinois | Manpower forecast maintained | Years of service avg. 5 years | 0 |  |  |
| RSL TitleEnter Address |       |       |       |       |       |
| RSL TitleEnter Address |       |       |       |       |       |
| RSL TitleEnter Address |       |       |       |       |       |
| RSL TitleEnter Address |       |       |       |       |       |
| RSL TitleEnter Address |       |       |       |       |       |
| RSL TitleEnter Address |       |       |       |       |       |
| RSL TitleEnter Address |       |       |       |       |       |
| RSL TitleEnter Address |       |       |       |       |       |
| RSL TitleEnter Address |       |       |       |       |       |

Is external customer performance data being directly reported from any IATF OEM, Non-IATF OEM and/or Automotive Customer to the remote support location(s) (see IATF Rules 5.7.2.a)? [ ]  Yes [ ]  No - If yes, which location(s):

Identify all legal, statutory, and regulatory requirements applicable to the product or process:

Identify the outsource processes (testing, calibration services, heat treating, plating, machining, painting, etc.) that affect product conformity requirements:

Are you currently certified to IATF 16949 or have you been previously certified to IATF 16949? [ ]  Yes [ ]  No - If yes, provide details of the certification:

Is your organization (to be a certified site) owned by a large organization? [ ]  Yes [ ]  No (If no, skip questions A and B.)

1. Does any part (parent or sister companies) of the larger organization have a direct impact on the management of your organization (to be a certified site) or is providing services (e.g., sales, purchasing, process design, product design, warehousing, etc.… see Section 3 table for IATF (TS) approved remote support location titles, processes, components, or product to your organization (to be a certified site)? [ ]  Yes [ ]  No (if no, skip question B.)
2. How do you treat the relationship with the organization that has a direct impact on the management of your organization (to be a certified site) or is providing services (e.g., sales, purchasing, process design, product design, warehousing, etc.… see Section 3 table for IATF (TS) approved remote support location titles, processes, components, or product to your organization (to be a certified site)? [ ]  Supplier/external provider, [ ]  Support activity, or [ ]  Extended manufacturing site

| **SRI Readiness Review - For Completion by the Assigned SRI Auditor**Check (√) the appropriate boxes to indicate acceptable or an area of concern and enter summary of results. |
| --- |
|  | **Acceptable (yes)** | **Area of Concern** that could be classified as nonconformance at the Stage 2 Audit | **Summary of Results** |
| Certification Structure: |  [ ]  Single manufacturing site, [ ]  Corporate scheme,  [ ]  Single Manufacturing Site with Extended Site(s) |
| Auditor agrees with the certification structure identified by the client and quoted by SRI? | [ ]  |  | No [ ]  Comments:       |
| Where the certification structure has been identified as “single manufacturing site with extended site(s)”, you must verify that Rform R20.27 SRI Application and Review for Single Manufacturing Site with Extended Manufacturing Site(s) has been completed by the client. You must verify that the information on the R20.27 is complete, accurate, and decide if the certification structure “single manufacturing site with extended site(s)” can be applied to this registration. | [ ]  | [ ]  |       |
|  |  | Not Applicable[ ]  |  |
| Management system documentation for each site to be audited including: * Quality manual, containing the interaction with support functions on-site or remote;
* Description of remote location(s) and support provided to or received from as part of the IATF 16949;
* Description of processes showing the sequence and interactions, including the identification of remote support functions and outsourced processes;
* Required procedures and records.
 | [ ]  | [ ]  |       |
| Client’s list of Key Processes (COPS) in Quality Manual matches:* Completed Process Matrix for IATF 16949, R20.44IATF, or equivalent
 | [ ]  | [ ]  |       |
| Evidence that all the requirements of IATF 16949 are addressed by your processes. | [ ]  | [ ]  |       |
| Access to your website to validate information provided. | [ ]  | [ ]  |       |
| Key indicators and performance trends for the previous twelve (12) months (minimum) including customer report cards (consider PPM's, delivery, cost of management, objectives, capacity, utilization, productivity, efficiencies, etc.). | [ ]  | [ ]  |       |
| Information on the use of consultancy relating to the management system.  | [ ]  | [ ]  |       |
| Relevant legal obligations/regulations applicable to the product or processes. | [ ]  | [ ]  |       |
| Evidence of one full cycle of Internal Audits to IATF 16949 followed by a Management Review. [One full cycle of internal audits (QMS, Manufacturing and Product) in the process approach to IATF 16949 followed by a management review that must be completed prior to the audit and submitted as part of this review package.]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |       |
| Client must utilize the Automotive Quality Management System Standard (IATF 16949:2016), “IATF Rules” for achieving and maintaining IATF recognition (5th Edition), applicable CSRs, SIs, FAQs, etc., most current editions | [ ]  | [ ]  |       |
| List of qualified internal auditors and criteria (how) they were qualified.  | [ ]  | [ ]  |       |
| Management review planning and records from previous 12 months  | [ ]  | [ ]  |       |
| A complete list of automotive customers (IATF OEMs, Non ITAF OEMs, Automotive Customers) and their customer-specific requirements (including revision and/or date of issue and any waivers obtained).  | [ ]  | [ ]  |       |
| Customer complaint summary and responses for the past year. | [ ]  | [ ]  |       |
| Customer scorecards (access to the customer websites, for retrieval of information where applicable). | [ ]  | [ ]  |       |
| Information on Automotive Customers including IATF OEM Supplier Codes. | [ ]  | [ ]  |       |
| Notifications of Customer Special Status (Q1 Revocation, New Business Hold, CS II, Top Problem Supplier Location, etc.). | [ ]  | [ ]  |       |
| If another CB covers remote support, IATF Rules 5.5, Option 2 criteria applies: Are these criteria 1-5 acceptable? If not, revert to Option 1 and include in the Stage 2 audit plan the remote support location(s). SRI Lead Auditor verifies the CB supplying the information required by Option 2, is listed on IATF’s website. | [ ]  | [ ]  |       |
| The SRI auditor comprehends the language(s) indicated. [If no, an interpreter is required and additional mandays are required (20%).] | [ ]  | [ ]  |       |
| Is there any raw material or components (parts) manufactured on-site that is used in the automotive product, Where the Process Is Not Audited to the IATF Requirements? | [ ]  | [ ]  |       |
| Is the client currently certified to IATF 16949 or have they been previously certified to IATF 16949? [ ]  Yes or [ ]  No |  |  | If yes, provide details of the certification:        |
| Is the organization (to be certified site) owned by a large organization? [ ]  Yes or [ ]  No |  |  | If yes, allocate time to investigate the relationship and determine if the organization (parent or sister companies) has a direct impact on the management of this site to be certified or is providing services to this site to be certified (whether it is paid service or not) it is to be considered a remote support location. |
| List the Areas of Concerns to be prioritized, investigated. Note: the Stage 2 audit plan must identify and schedule an assessment of these areas of concern. |        |

*All documentation/records noted above must be accepted by the assigned SRI Lead Auditor prior to the Stage 2 registration assessment.* ***Readiness materials cannot be accepted on-site at the Stage 2 registration audit event.***

SRI has conducted a Stage 1 audit for this value-added site. The Stage 1 audit included a review of the management system documentation and all Readiness Materials as indicated on this form, R20.102, for this value-added site. The Stage 1 event provided a focus for planning the Stage 2 audit by gaining a sufficient understanding of the client’s QMS and evaluating:

* The management system documentation, including the relationship and linkages to any remote supporting functions and outsourced processes.
* The location and site-specific conditions and undertake a discussions with the client’s personnel to determine the readiness for the stage 2 audit.
* Client's status and understanding regarding the requirements of the standard, in particular with respect to the identification of key performance or significant aspect, processes, objectives, and operation of the management system.
* Information regarding the scope of the management system, processes, and location(s) of the client as well as related statutory and regulatory aspects and compliance.
* Allocation of resources for Stage 2 and agree with the client on details of the stage 2 audit. Note that - an audit day (8-hour duration) can be extended only to cover a 3rd Shift (Off-Shift) which operates outside the normal 8-hour work day (normal being 8:00 a.m. to 17:00 p.m.). The additional hours spent auditing the 3rd Shift may not exceed 4 hours per audit (NOT per auditor) [*refer to Rules 5.2.a) and b), Audit day determination*].
* To provide a focus for planning the stage 2 audit by gaining a sufficient understanding of the client’s management system and site operation in the context of possible significant aspects.
* To evaluate the whether the internal audits and management review are being planned and performed and that the level of implementation of the management system substantiates the client is ready for a stage 2 audit.
* Verification of client and/or design subcontractors to have appropriate capability to meet clause to 8.3 Design and Development of products and services of IATF 16949, including interfaces between client and subcontractor.
* The auditee information sheet, R20.62, was compared to the R20.102 for accuracy. This information will be on the SRI certificate of registration to be issued to the client. Any inaccuracies must be corrected and communicated to the office.

**A copy of the final audit reports may be submitted to the IATF upon request.**

|  |
| --- |
| **To be completed by SRI only:** |
| **Stage 1, Readiness Review, Duration and Results:** |
| *Duration:* | Stage 1 audit scheduled mandays |       | Actual mandays conducted |       |
|  |  |  |  |
| *Results:*  [ ]  Ready - The client meets the eligibility requirements of IATF 16949 and has received a “ready” for Stage 2 registration activity result. |
|  |  |  |  |
| SRI Lead Auditor Signature: |       | Date: |       |
|  |
| [ ]  Not Ready - Should the client receive a “not ready” for Stage 2 registration activity, another on-site Stage 1 event must occur. |
|  |
| SRI Lead Auditor Signature:  |       | Date: |       |
| Number of Additional Days of on-site Readiness Review required: |       | When: |       |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| Client’s Acknowledgment (should another Stage 1 be required):  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Name: |       | Date: |       |
| Signature: |       |  |  |
|  |